

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6, 1445 ROSS AVENUE, DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733 EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. CWA-06-2009-4341

On: May 13, 2009

At: Stanco, Inc. Vehicle Maintenance Yard, 70459 Highway 59, Abita Springs, St. Tammany Parish LA, 70420. Owned or operated by: Stranco, Inc., 70459 Highway 59, Abita Springs, LA 70420 (Respondent).

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$650.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. The Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$650.00, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," to: "USEPA, Fines & Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000,"and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "Spill Fund-311" and the docket number of this case, "CWA-06-2009-4341."

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other

enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon EPA's filing of the document with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

APPROVED BY EPA:

1	for Samuel Tats	Date:	5/29/09
•	Robert R. Broyles		
	Associate Director		
	Prevention and Response Bran	nch	
	Superfund Division		

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): William P. Strain
Title (print): President

Signature Date: 6/10/09

Estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is \$650. \*

IT IS SQ ORDERED:

Samuel Coleman, P.E.

Director / Superfund Division

OREREV.11/18/99 R6REV 5/10/01; 9/19/01;11/ 8/01;1/22/02

llpg Date: 10/29/09

RECIONAL HEARING CLERK

22:6 MA OE NUL COOS

FILED

### Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 6 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Co	ompany Name	Docket Number:	
Sti	ranco, Inc.	CWA -06-2009-4341	UNITED STATES
Fa	cility Name	Date	
Sta	anco, Inc. Vehicle Maintenance Yard	5/13/2009	* O'NE O'N TO NOTE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP
Ac	ddress	Inspection Number	A STATE OF
70	459 Highway 59	FY-INSP-090117	The more cho
City:		Inspectors Name:	"A MXOIL"
Abita Springs		Tom McKay	
Sta	ate: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
LA	70420	Donald P. Smith	
Со	ontact:	Enforcement Contacts:	
Mı	Mr. Timothy Mann (985) 896-5308 Bryant Smalley (214) 665-7368		
	(B)	ulk Storage Facilities)	
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b),	(c), (d)
=	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow	rable of \$1,000.00.)
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	able of \$1,000.00.) \$1,000.00
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	able of \$1,000.00.) \$1,000.00
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	**************************************
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty)  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeas  Plan not certified by a professional engineer-  No management approval of plan- 112.7	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	**************************************
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty)  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeas  Plan not certified by a professional engineer-	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	\$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$300.00 \$12.3(e)(1) \$1,000.00
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty)  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeast Plan not certified by a professional engineer- No management approval of plan- 112.7  Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility)	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	\$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$300.00 \$12.3(e)(1) \$1,000.00 \$300.00
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.36 (When the SPCC Plan review penalty  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean  Plan not certified by a professional engineer-  No management approval of plan- 112.7  Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility  Plan not available for review- 112.3(e)(1)  No evidence of five-year review of plan by ow  No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	able of \$1,000.00.)
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.36 (When the SPCC Plan review penalty  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean  Plan not certified by a professional engineer-  No management approval of plan- 112.7  Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility  Plan not available for review- 112.3(e)(1)  No evidence of five-year review of plan by over	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	able of \$1,000.00.)
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.36 (When the SPCC Plan review penalty)  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean Plan not certified by a professional engineer-  No management approval of plan- 112.7	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	\$1,000.00   \$1,0
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3( (When the SPCC Plan review penalty)  No Spill Prevention Control and Countermean Plan not certified by a professional engineer- No management approval of plan- 112.7  Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility) Plan not available for review- 112.3(e)(1)  No evidence of five-year review of plan by own No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a or maintenance which affects the facility's dist Amendment(s) not certified by a professional	(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allow sure Plan- 112.3	\$1,000.00   \$1,0

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)	100.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	100.00
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipm (including truck transfer areas) 112.7(c)	ent- 400.00
	Claiming installation of appropriate containment/diversionary structures is impractical but:	
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- 112.7(d)	400.00
$\lceil \cdot \rceil$	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	. 100.00
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	. 100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)	50.00
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	50.00
	Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e)	50.00
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	50.00
10	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
建	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1)	
	No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)	50.00
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically- 112.7(f)(3)	50.00
營	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures	50.00

## SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)

	100.00
secured	200.00
cessible tus- <i>112.7(g)(3)</i>	50.00
anged	50.00
rkness and	100.00
	50.00
ING RACK 112.7(h)	
	500.00
	300.00
ns, or vehicle brake ransfer lines- 112.7(h)(2).	200.00
ure	100.00
oading rack	50.00
b) & (c)	
, or	200.00
	300.00
	100.00
ntained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv)	50.00
8(b)	
or	400.00
	••

### **BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)**

	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- 112.8(c)(1)
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate- 112.8(c)(2)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2)250.00
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded
	Containment bypass valves are not sealed closed when not draining rainwater- 112.8(c)(3)(i)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6)
П	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are
Citation,	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)

# FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)

Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)100.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)300.00
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)50.00
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- $112.8(d)(3)$
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process

TOTAL \$650.00